

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.auspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,374	11/14/2001	Michael S. Jensen	ECO3	5761
7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER		
Nath & Associates PLLC 1030 15th Street N.W.			THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
6th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005			1774	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/993,374 Examiner	JENSEN ET AL.	
,	Camie S Thompson	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			dress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the orion will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal ma		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-9 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the co	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in e priority documents have bee sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/94) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	18) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/993,374 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

 Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed March 1, 2004 have been acknowledged.

- Examiner acknowledges amended claim 1.
- Examiner acknowledges newly added claims 12-14.
- 4. Original claims 1-11 were directed towards a sandwich. Newly added claims 12-14 are directed towards a method of making a sandwich panel.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 12-14 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Applicant must file a divisional application or file a new application in order to prosecute the method for making a sandwich panel.

- 5. The rejection of claims 1 and 4-6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jasperson, U.S. Patent Number 4,357,384 in view of Bair, U.S. Patent Number 3,775,916 and in further view of Rizk, U.S. Patent Number 4,620,404 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.
- 6. The rejection of claims 1, 4 and 8-9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jasperson, U.S. Patent Number 4,357,384 in view of Bair, U.S. Patent Number 3,775,916 and in further view of Siler, U.S. Patent Number 5,826389 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,374 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jasperson,
 U.S. Patent Number 4.357,384.

Jasperson discloses a composite structure that includes first and second building panels that have a layer of rigid insulation sandwiched between as per instant claim 1 (see abstract, column 1, lines 15-31 and lines 50-55). Additionally, the Jasperson reference discloses an overlying cementititous layer (support) adhered to the insulation as per instant claim 1 (see column 1, lines 25-35). The reference also discloses that the cementitious layer serves to hold the insulation layer against high wind loads (see column 2, lines 53-63). Column 2, lines 49-52 of the reference disclose that the rigid insulation can be blocks of insulation as per instant claim 10. Also, Jasperson discloses that the panels can be formed of cementitious or concrete products as per instant claim 1 (see column 2, lines 5-27). It is disclosed in column 3, lines 54-63 that the structure is designed to have a height as high as several stories. The panels of the Jasperson reference can have a thickness up to no more than 1 inch as per instant claim 2 (see column 6, lines 6-23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jasperson,
 U.S. Patent Number 4,357,384.

Jasperson discloses a composite structure that includes first and second building panels that have a layer of rigid insulation sandwiched between as per instant claim 1 (see abstract, column 1, lines 15-31 and lines 50-55). Additionally, the Jasperson reference discloses an overlying cementititous layer (support) adhered to the insulation as per instant claim 1 (see column 1, lines 25-35). The reference also discloses that the cementitious layer serves to hold the insulation layer against high wind loads (see column 2, lines 53-63). Also, Jasperson discloses that the panels can be formed of cementitious or concrete products as per instant claim 1 (see column 2, lines 5-27). The reference discloses a structural panel that is 7' x 2' as per instant claim 3 (see column 7, lines 29-49). It is disclosed in column 3, lines 54-63 that the structure is designed to have a height as high as several stories. Jasperson does not specifically disclose the width and thickness of the structure. However, the reference does disclose that the composite structure can be used a new roof or wall. The width and thickness of the structure affect the load bearing features on the composite. However, these features are optimizable. Discovery of optimum values of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art in re Boesch, 617 F2. 2d 272, 205 USPA 215 (CCPA 1980). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a width greater than 8 feet and a thickness between 3.5 and 5 inches in order to have a roofing or wall structure that is able to sustain heavy loads.

11. Claims 1, 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jasperson, U.S. Patent Number 4,357,384 in view of Bair, U.S. Patent Number 3,775,916. Jasperson discloses a composite structure that includes first and second building panels that have a layer of rigid insulation sandwiched between as per instant claim 1 (see abstract, column 1. lines 15-31 and lines 50-55). Additionally, the Jasperson reference discloses an overlying cementitious layer (support) adhered to the insulation as per instant claim 1 (see column 1, lines 25-35). The reference also discloses that the cementitious layer serves to hold the insulation layer agains high wind loads (see column 2, lines 53-63). Also, Jasperson discloses that the panels can be formed of cementitious or concrete products as per instant claim 1 (see column 2, lines 5-27). The Jasperson reference does not disclose upper and lower border beams for the support frame that is strengthened by a reinforcing bar as per instant claims 4 and 7. Bair discloses a multi-layer wall panel that has a sandwich construction comprising an outer layer of masonry building units with a principally load-bearing of cementitious material and an insulating layer (see abstract). The Bair reference also discloses beams that are along the upper and lower sides of the panel and encompass the insulating layer (see Bair: Figure 4, column 1, lines 51-68 and column 2, line 55-column 3, line 5). The upper and lower beams receive the panel for mounting. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to have upper and lower beams for the support frame in order to allow the panel to receive fasteners for mounting (see Bair: column 2, lines 62-68). Figure 4 of the Bair reference discloses a reinforcing bar. The addition of a reinforcing bar affects the strength the panel. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to have a reinforcing bar in each border beam in order to strengthen each beam.

12. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jasperson, U.S. Patent Number 4,357,384 in view of Schupack, U.S. Patent Number 4,617,219. Jasperson discloses a composite structure that includes first and second building panels that have a layer of rigid insulation sandwiched between as per instant claim 1 (see abstract, column 1, lines 15-31 and lines 50-55). Additionally, the Jasperson reference discloses an overlying cementititous layer (support) adhered to the insulation as per instant claims 1 and 4 (see column 1, lines 25-35). The reference also discloses that the cementitious layer serves to hold the insulation layer against high wind loads (see column 2, lines 53-63). Also, Jasperson discloses that the panels can be formed of cementitious or concrete products as per instant claim 1 (see column 2, lines 5-27). The Jasperson reference does not disclose the cementitious mixture as per instant claim 11. Schupack teaches reinforced cement structures such as panels in the sandwich construction (see abstract and column 1, lines 36-47). The Schupack reference also teaches the composition of the cementitious mixture. Schupack teaches that the composition can include a polypropylene fiber, cement, sand, water and superplasticizer (see column 4, lines 1-5 and Example 2). Schupack does not disclose the amounts instantly claimed. The use of a lightweight, high compression strain capacity cement matrix provides bendability characteristics. Discovery of optimum values of a result effective variable involve only routine skill in the art in re Boesch, 617 F2. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a cementitious mixture compositions with 42.3% cement, 42.3% sand, 1% polypropylene fiber, 0.1% superplasticizer and about 14.3% water in order to provide high compression strength (see column 11, lines 29-43 of the Schupack reference).

13. Claims 5-6 and 8-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments filed March 1, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Jasperson reference does not have all the elements of the present invention. Applicant argues that the Jasperson reference does not have the same arrangement as the instant invention. The Jasperson reference discloses a composite structure wherein the rigid insulation layer is sandwiched between the first and second face panel. The reference also discloses that the support (cementitious layer) is adhered to the insulation layer. Thus, the support layer would be continuous with the first and second panels. Applicant argues that the method used in the instant application makes the invention different from the Jasperson invention. The method in which the cementitious support frame is made continuous to the first and second panels does not make it a different invention. Both Jasperson and applicant have a first and second face with a rigid insulation layer wherein a cementitious support layer is adhered to the insulation. Applicant argues that the combination of the Bair and Jasperson references is improper. Applicant argues that the Bair reference does not disclose a support frame that is located between the first and second panels. It is disclosed in the abstract of the Bair reference that a frame is mounted about the edges of one or more panels. In Bair, the support frame can be located between the first and second panels. Applicant argues the combination of the Jasperson and Schupack references. Applicant argues that the Schupack reference does not disclose a

support frame. The Schupack reference discloses reinforced cement structures such as panels in the sandwich construction, as does Jasperson. Thus, Jasperson and Schupack are analogous art. The cementitious mixture of the Schupack reference is used as a support in sandwich panels. The cementitious composition comprising polypropylene fiber, cement, sand water and superplasticizer has a high compressions strain capacity in order to withstand loads. Therefore, the combination is not without motivation.

15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly, can be reached at (571) 272-1526. The fax phone number for the Group is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,374

Art Unit: 1774

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cynth N Kelly